

About this site

About us

For librarians

## TOP STORIES

## Found: one Earth-like planet 25 January 2006

25 January 2006 Thames whale died of dehydration 25 January 2006 How to throw a soccer ball 25 January 2006 The tale of the flying snail 25 January 2006 Tobacco giant sponsors work on DNA repair 25 January 2006 Contraceptive pill 'does not cause weight gain' 25 January 2006

## Subscribe

albeit often very slowly.

This is because computer networks fall into a newly identified class of 'scale-free' networks in which there is no characteristic, average number of connections to the many nodes (junctions) of the network.

In a regular grid-like network, like a fishing net or the New York street map, each node is joined to the same number of neighbouring nodes -- four, in a square grid. In a 'random network', where all the nodes are connected at random, there is a well-defined average number of connections among the nodes, and the number that have many more connections than average is insignificantly small.

A scale-free network has many more highly connected nodes than a random network, even though most nodes have only a small number of connections. Also, in scale-free networks, unlike regular grids, there are many shortcuts between distant nodes. E-mail servers that are geographically distant may nevertheless be connected by only a few hops.

Pastor-Satorras, at the Universistat Politècnica de Catalunya in Barcelona, Spain, and Vespignani, at the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics in Trieste, Italy, used a standard mathematical model of infection, in which each node (representing a person or animal in studies of biological epidemics) is 'healthy' or 'infected'.

Normally, this model predicts that epidemics happen only if the rate of infection of 'healthy' nodes connected to infected ones exceeds some threshold: unless the virus can transmit itself fast enough, it dies out.

On a scale-free network, the model predicts quite different behaviour. There is no threshold -- a virus can 'survive' even if it spreads very slowly. This sounds like very bad news for the Internet.

Fortunately, even though computer viruses spread far and wide on these networks, they typically do so by infecting only a tiny fraction of the entire system. They are more like a tiny stream sending little branches throughout a landscape than a tidal wave that engulfs it all.

The researchers show that real computer viruses do indeed seem to show this sort of spreading behaviour -- a kind of low-level insidiousness that only very occasionally grows to overwhelming, epidemic proportions, as happened with ILOVEYOU.

Launched from the Philippines in May 2000, ILOVEYOU infected the computer systems of the US Pentagon and the UK House of Commons, and wrought havoc on the internal e-mail systems of many companies, including Ford Motors and Lucent Technologies. The virus deleted files at random on an infected PC machine before e-mailing itself to everyone else on the machine's directory. news@nature.com



Ancient Athenian Plague Proves to Be Typhoid

Mother's Stress Selects against Boys Yeast Builds Better

Monoclonal Antibodies Polar Satellite Freeze

.....

more science news

<u>▲ Тор</u>

## References

1. Pastor-Satorras, R. & Vespignani, A. Epidemic spreading in scale-free networks. *Los Alamos preprint cond-mat/0010317 (to appear in Physical Review Letters* 2 April 2001).

<u>▲ Top</u>

news@nature.com ISSN: 1744-7933 Home | News | Features | Columns and blogs | Archive news | Specials | In focus | Stories by subject | My news | Biotechnology news | Careers news | Drug discovery news | Earth and environment news | Medical Research news | Physical sciences news | Feedback | About this site | About us | For librarians | Help



©2001 Nature Publishing Group | Privacy policy